

NOPREN Hunger Safety Net WG Meeting Minutes – February 22, 2016

Partner Perspective Presentation: Sky Cornell, Vice President of Programs, Wholesome Wave (Fruit and Vegetable Rx Programs)

- **Background: Wholesome Wave and Rx Programs**
 - Wholesome Wave has been supporting and leading implementation of fruit and vegetable Rx programs since 2010 around the country. Programs have expanded to 35 sites.
 - Worked with hospitals, federally qualified clinics, and other alternate sites (e.g. in some sites, community health workers make visits to the home)
- **Innovation objectives**
 - 60-70 active Rx programs across the country all geared towards creating a clinic-community bridge to address population health
 - Specific objectives for Rx programs are varied but include:
 - addressing the overlapping burden of obesity, diet-related diseases, and food security
 - addressing food insecurity
 - treating obesity and diet-related disease
- **How a typical Rx program works:**
 - Patient enrolls at clinic site
 - Patient receives nutrition education and sets healthy eating goals (which focus on healthy diet overall and the importance of healthy food in managing or preventing diet-related disease; not just fruits and veggies)
 - Begins with nutritionists and dietitians who are trained to set a foundation and establish what the patients and families are eating
 - At 4-5 months, the focus shifts to a conversation about maintaining a healthy diet after off-rolling from the program; troubleshooting any challenges the families dealt with through the course of the program
 - Patient receives prescriptions/vouchers that are redeemable for fresh fruits and vegetables
 - Each prescription/voucher is good for \$1/day for each patient and each family member
 - Can be used at participating retailers, grocery stores, and farmers markets
 - Health indicators are collected
 - Health indicators from the clinic can be tied to voucher use
 - Participants return to their doctor throughout the 4-6 month program to refill prescriptions and set new healthy eating goals
- **National scan** (Scan conducted in the summer of 2015)
 - Majority of programs occur in clinics (~65%) or federally qualified health centers (~35%); some happening in the field with community health workers going to the home
 - None have been run through food banks (Rx programs through Massachusetts General and ProMedica—prescription particularly ties the patient to a food pantry)
 - Farmer's markets saw the biggest Rx redemption rates (~50% of programs only allow prescriptions to be redeemed at farmers markets)
 - Next highest redemption rates were at retail grocery stores
 - Target population
 - Largely focused on children and pregnant women
 - Majority are focused on low-income populations; some don't want to get into verifying income, so they base their target population on the socio-demographic make-up of community or clinic

- More than ½ of programs are associated with diet-related diseases or obesity (majority of those on diabetes; 1 on cancer, 1 on HIV)
- Some have lower incentive amount
 - You see fewer touch points with the provider; more integrated within the community
- More intensive programs are those that seem to be invested in more robust linkages to providers and nutrition
- Cross sector collaboration (e.g. with hospitals, insurers, and Departments of Public Health (DPH) at the state and city levels)
 - Least amount of information available on programs collaborating with insurers
- Funding structures are varied
 - Majority seem to be funded through grants and foundation funding; some through DPH at the state or city level; majority not from standard health care grants
 - A few groups have been using community benefits dollars
- For the off-boarding period, programs are trying to draw linkages to other food assistance programs
- Group medical visits—as done by Fresh Approach—are billing for nutrition education and counseling
- Participants stay in program for an average of 4-6 months (might be because of funding or farmer's market season etc.)
 - This is why grocery stores allow for more flexibility and provide more of an access point
- **Outcomes (brief review)**
 - Examples of programs with robust evaluation
 - California—one program has conducted a longitudinal look back on consumption to implement healthy eating strategies
 - Ecology Center- County Health Department had good results over the years and the Ecology Center is building a good database with health impact data
 - EatSF out of UCSF—robust evaluation data
 - Hood River, Oregon-have been gathering data with the DPH but no time yet to analyze
 - New Haven Farms-participants work on the farm for 16-20 weeks of the summer growing season for weekly cooking demonstrations, nutrition classes, and gardening seminars; data collection happens at the farm
 - FNCP/LNCP through USDA-literature review but not published yet
 - 1 out of Washington, 1 out of Texas and maybe Wholesome Wave doing Rx programs through FINI grant
 - More robust data includes redemption and retention data, consumption and health data
 - Looked at data from 2011-2014
 - 69% of people increased consumption of fruits and vegetables
 - Over 90% were happy with healthy weight or diabetes care after use of food prescription program
 - About ½ of patient households improved in food security
 - Saw some movement in BMI (although hard to assess)
 - However, after off-rolling, went back to facing the same barriers as before
- **Research questions and gaps**
 - Key questions:
 - Does participation of patients in the program increase consumption of fruits and vegetables and have an impact on health outcomes?
 - Has participation had any dietary change on other members of the household?
 - What components are most important? Vouchers, clinic visits, etc?
 - What is the right does-response?

- What are long-term impacts, i.e. health care costs, BMI, etc.?
- **Research opportunities**
 - Health care economists to predict costs
 - Look back at the impact a family can have; program in NY where families are opting for care (leverage that opportunity)
 - Bring field together to create shared metrics, share best practices, and learn from each other
 - Next steps: technology; best practice sharing; shared metrics

Subgroup updates:

- Clinical linkages (Co-chairs: Steve Cook and Ellen Barnidge)
 - First call was held on January 21, 2016—focusing on current food insecurity screening tools/methods, particularly Childhood Hunger Coalition food security screening algorithm and how that can be adopted to other communities
 - Next call on **Thursday, Feb 25 at 4pm EST. The call-in information is: Dial: 866-541-4407, Passcode: 1855494**
- Food Systems (Chair: Alex Lewin-Zwerdling)
 - In-person meeting at the Wholesome Wave conference. Discussed a potential partnership with CDC Foundation and PHI who are collaboratively working to design a tool for Community Health Needs Assessments that would include an assessment of local food systems. What kind of advisory role can they play?
 - Next meeting is on **March 7 at 2 PM EST. The call-in information is: Dial-In:(866) 215-3402; Conference code: 4346031**
- Research Agenda (Co-chairs: Seth Berkowitz and Darcy Freedman)
 - Next Meeting is on **Wednesday, February 24 at 4PM EST. The call-in information is: Dial: 866-541-4407, Passcode: 1855494**
 - Agenda will focus on a discussion of current research landscape, the Food as Medicine Research Database, and moving forward on understand our research capacity
- Food Policy Councils (Chair: Larissa Calancie)
 - Working on a manuscript entitled ‘Food Policy Councils’ Self-Reported Impact on Policy, Systems, and Environmental-level Change in their Communities’
 - Discussed overlap with Food Systems work group in wanting to provide input around local food systems needs assessments and CHNAs