From process to practice: Better understanding the components of a highquality recess and the implications for schools

Introductions



Jennette Claassen, National Director of Evaluation, Playworks





William Massey, Assistant Professor, Kinesiology, Oregon State University



2013: The Growing Need

| Practice | Research |
|---|---|
| Playworks regional expansion complete Introducing new service models | How do we measure change on the playground beyond PA? |

- Partners asking for a way to assess the quality of recess
- Group vs individual level measures





Building a Tool

Intentional Design

- 1. Design the team
- 2. Research
- 3. Crowdsource
- 4. Test

5. Revise
 6. Celebrate
 7. Repeat





Rubric

2018-19 Playworks Great Recess Framework Rubric

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 The play space for recess has no safety concerns. It is clearly free of hazards and/or all unsafe areas are identified as "no play" zones | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | The play space for recess is unsafe due to hazards (e.g. trip hazards, broken glass/sharp objects, hidden areas that cannot be seen by adults) that aren't identified as "no play" zones. There are significant safety concerns | The play space for recess has some safety concerns due to hazardous areas that aren't identified as "no play" zones | The play space for recess has few safety concerns. There are few hazardous areas but most are identified as "no play" zones | | |
| 2 | The play space for recess is inappropriate (too small, near open roads, etc.) and has many immediate safety concerns | The play space for recess is somewhat inappropriate and has some immediate safety concerns | The play space for recess is mostly appropriate in that there are very few immediate safety concerns | The play space for recess is appropriate in that there are no immediate safety concerns | |
| 3 | The play space for recess has no clearly identified boundaries for games (no cones, chalk, paint) | The play space for recess has a few boundaries identified but a large percentage of the play space does not have any game space marked | The play space for recess has many boundaries identified but a small portion of the play space does not have any game space marked | The play space for recess is well marke (cones, chalk, paint) and all game boundaries are clear | |





Initial Validity Testing

- GRF observations collected at 649 recess sessions
 - 495 schools across 22 urban/metro areas
 - 162 observations conducted by research team
 - 487 observations conducted by Playworks personnel



ORKS

for every kid

rement model of ?F. S&S = Safety ructure, AES = Engagement and *r*ision, SB = It Behaviors, T = ions. CFI = .984, 971, RMSEA = SRSM = .031



Initial Validity Testing

- Inter-rater reliability data collected at 162 recess sessions
 - Weighted Kappa scores calculated for each individual item ranged from 0.492 – 1.00
 - 76% of items had Kw > .6
 - Allowed us to see where we needed better training
 - Intra-class correlation calculated for each sub-scale
 - Safety and Structure (ICC_{2,1} = 0.892; 95% CI, 0.856, 0.940)
 - Adult Supervision and Engagement ($ICC_{2,1} = 0.872$; 95% CI, 0.830, 0.905)
 - Student Behaviors (ICC_{2,1} = 0.930; 95% CI, 0.903, 0.949)
 - Transitions (ICC_{2,1} = 0.837; 95% CI, 0.784, 0.878)
 - Total Scale (ICC_{2,1} = 0.951; 95% CI, 0.932, 0.964)
- Test-retest collected across 9 schools
 - Three-day average: ICC = .949, 95% CI, .882, .979; MDC = 4.62
 - Two-day average: ICC = .855, 95% CI, .710, .930; MDC = 7.79





Sensitivity to Change

- Collected beginning and end of year data at 22 schools
 - 4 schools receiving no services
 - 10 schools receiving Playworks intervention for the first time
 - 8 schools returning as multi-year Playworks intervention



Significant interaction effect (p < .001; partial eta squared = .328) was detected.

Using our criteria of a minimally important change, recess sessions at first year Playworks schools (Odds Ratio = 21.59; 95% CI 4.27, 109.15) and returning Playworks schools (Odds Ratio = 7.34; 95% CI 1.50, 35.97) were significantly more likely to meet the threshold for meaningful positive change.



Switching Gears – What about Play Patterns



Play Patterns by GRF Scores

- Group level data were collected during 61 recess periods across 13 urban schools.
 - More likely to be engaged in playground activities (74% vs 59%; p < .001)
 - More likely to engage in light PA (37% vs 33%; p = .039);
 - Reported feeling significantly more safe (*p* < .001) than students in a low quality recess.
 - A trend towards lower levels of sedentary activity in a high quality recess were found when compared to a low quality recess (13% vs 17%; *p* = .078), which was more pronounced in female students (14% vs 19%; *p* = .045).
 - No differences were found in levels of MVPA or psychological need satisfaction





Play Patterns by GRF Scores

- Group level data were collected during 134 recess periods across 9 urban schools.
 - Children were nested within recess sessions; recess sessions were nested within schools
 - Psychological need satisfaction (autonomy, competence, relatedness) was not predictive of PA at recess
 - Adult supervision and engagement was the only variable predictive of children's level of engagement in play during recess







Broad Adoption



Total GRF Observations by School Year





Rigor vs Reality

- 1. Everyone completes GRF training
- 2. Same recess is observed multiple times on different days
- 3. True baseline observation obtained when possible
- 4. 3rd grade, lunch recess is observed when possible
- 5. Streamlined data collection with mobile friendly data entry and accessible reports





Access to Data

- Reports available within hours of entering data
- Customized filters
- Standard report allows for consistency in messaging with partner schools







Listen & Respond

National Required:

- Beginning of services
- End of Services

Requests for more frequent & varied use

- Monitoring tool
- Needs assessment
- Action Planning





Ongoing Refinement

Modifications to data collection practices and protocols







Ongoing Refinement

Modifications to report design



Intervene (1.0-1.9) These areas require greater attention

Mobilize (2.0-2.9) These areas could benefit from additional resources Reinforce (3.0-3.4) Continue to strengthen these positive aspects of recess Model (3.5-4.0) Model your recess off of these areas





Ongoing Refinement

Incorporate space for open ended responses



Successes:

08/24/2018;

08/27/2018:

Few existing systems in place. (Ball bin, freezing at the end of recess, closing at recess) Positive recess team.

Students and adults use positive language. Already a "Closing" existing at the end of recess.

Areas for

08/24/2018: Creating boundaries at all games. More adult part



Improvement:

08/27/2018: Take inventory of equipment. Order new equipme games.

| Action Item | Lead | Support Needed | Timeline |
|---|---|---|--|
| Introduce new games on a bi-weekly basis during Openings | Recess Team | Games will be taught in PE | 9/24 |
| Have JC's assist with closings at least 1 time in the next 2 weeks | Recess Team Closer | Aimee will review this at the next JC training | by 10/01 |
| At kickball, line up the students to divide them into teams and review rules | Recess Team | Team can empower JC's to support/lead this | 9/18 |
| | Introduce new games on a bi-weekly basis during Openings Have JC's assist with closings at least 1 time in the next 2 weeks At kickball, line up the students to divide | Introduce new games on a bi-weekly basis during Openings Recess Team Have JC's assist with closings at least 1 time in the next 2 weeks Recess Team At kickball, line up the students to divide Recess Team | Introduce new games on a bi-weekly basis during Openings Recess Team Games will be taught in PE Have JC's assist with closings at least 1 time in the next 2 weeks Recess Team Aimee will review this at the next At kickball, line up the students to divide Recess Team Team can empower JC's to |





Data Driven Priorities

In collaboration with the **Program Quality** team, we used the Great Recess Framework to:

- Identify 3 priority areas
- Establish **numeric goals** for end point GRF data in the priority areas
- Message this shift to regional and program staff
- Provide **resources** and coaching around priorities





Want more?

www.greatrecessframework.org

www.playworks.org

www.recesslab.org



