Understanding Teacher Wellbeing and Social Support for Physical Activity in a Diverse School District during the COVID-19 Crisis

NOPREN/PAPREN School Wellness Working Group

April 13, 2021

Anne Escaron, PhD MPH



ALTAMED HEALTH SERVICES CORPORATION

- Largest Independent Community Health Center
- 231,000 patients
 - 88% Racial and/or Ethnic Minority
 - 81% Latino
 - 70% Medicaid
 - 24% Best served in language other than English
- >3,000 employees
 - \leq 400 physicians
- Mission
- To eliminate disparities in health care access and outcomes



THE ALTAMED INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH EQUITY

Extensive history as research partner

Hub of rigorous, community-advised, high-impact health equity research that informs efforts to address the social determinants of health at the clinic, health systems, and policy levels

Interdisciplinary education and training for students, scholars, and physicians



Prior to COVID-19, teachers serving predominantly low-income, racially and ethnically diverse student populations experienced higher levels of job stress (Barnes 2007, Huang 2009)

During COVID-19, elevated burdens on teachers in these areas (Ong 2020)

How this crisis may be affecting the wellbeing of teachers serving low-income students has not been examined (Purwanto 2020)

To make such an assessment, a reliable teacher wellbeing measure is needed

Teacher Subjective Wellbeing Questionnaire (TSWQ) has only been tested in racially/ethnically homogenous teachers serving in well-resourced districts (Mankin 2018, Renshaw 2015)

- N=185: teachers employed in elem (28%) and middle (72%) schools in midsize urban city in srn US; 89% female; 48% AA, 44% White ; n= 21: elem school teachers currently experiencing classroom management challenges; 95% female; 62% White, 19% AA (Renshaw 2015)
- N=1,883 Public school teachers from six US states; 76% female; 93% White, 3% Hispanic, 2% AA; 32% elem, 26% middle schools, 35% high schools, 7% combo (Mankin 2018)

AltaMed Institute for Health Equity

BACKGROUND

TSWQ subscales and composite scale demonstrated strong internal consistency, and all scales demonstrated convergent validity with self-reported school supports and divergent validity with self-reported stress and emotional burnout

- TSWQ scores did not differ by school level (elem vs middle) but did differ according to unique school environment (1 middle school vs another middle school) and teacher stressors (general teachers vs teachers experiencing classroom management challenges; Renshaw 2015)
- for teachers experiencing classroom challenges the TSWQ had strong short term predictive validity for psychological distress (Renshaw 2015)
- in follow up psychometric testing, TSWQ reported as a structurally valid measure of its two teacher well-being constructs with measurement invariance analyses revealing that the factor structure stays consistent across elementary, middle and high school teachers (Mankin 2018)
- findings built support for the technical adequacy and applied use of the TSWQ in schools to screen for intervention, measure outcomes, and monitor progress (Mankin 2018)

An assessment of the psychometrics of this instrument among a more diverse set of teachers serving in lowerresourced environments is needed

> AltaMed Institute for Health Equity

Understanding teacher wellbeing in this context will provide an initial step towards developing potential interventions

Understand potential protective factors for teacher wellbeing and what opportunities may exist to promote these factors

• Physical activity among teachers, which school districts have multiple avenues to support

Given the protective effects of physical activity on mental health and the potential for school districts to support teachers in this area, these questions are salient in the context of widespread stay-at-home orders that have further limited physical activity opportunities (Biddle 2007)



1: Is the Teacher Subjective Wellbeing Questionnaire (TSWQ) a valid measure in the context of describing teacher wellbeing among a diverse sample of teachers serving predominantly low-income, racially and ethnically diverse students?

• We hypothesize that the TSWQ will exhibit technical adequacy in this population.

2: What is the association between social support for physical activity and teacher wellbeing in this teacher population?

 We hypothesize there is a positive association between social support for physical activity and teacher wellbeing.

Together, these aims will generate a snapshot of teacher wellbeing among a diverse set of teachers during the COVID-19 crisis and insights on potential interventions and protective factors.

AltaMed

Institute for

Health Equity

administer survey once during the 2020-2021 academic year

invite teachers from 19 partner schools from five school districts (Escaron 2019, Escaron 2019, Martinez 2017, Escaron Forthcoming)

schools located within Service Planning Area 7 in majority low-income and Latinx census tracts, key qualifying criteria for schools that participated in the CDC Racial and Ethnic Approaches to Community Health grant (5 U58 DP005740-03)

email a Google Forms link to teachers

brief survey response time, 5 minutes

keep survey open one month with administration sending weekly reminder



Teacher Subjective Wellbeing Questionnaire (TSWQ)

eight-item instrument operationalized by subscales measuring school connectedness and a sense of efficacy in teaching

4-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (almost never) to 4 (almost always), with possible scores ranging from 8-32



SOCIAL SUPPORT AND EXERCISE SURVEY (SSES)

things people might do or say to someone who is trying to exercise regularly (Sallis 1987)

Each question (n=13) is rated twice for (during the last three months)

- How often family and
- friends, acquaintances, or coworkers have said or done what is described

using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (none) to 5 (very often)

Published scoring guidelines generate a Family Participation (possible score 10-50), Family Rewards and Punishment (possible score 3-15), and Friend Participation (possible score 10-50) sub-score

Both test-retest and internal consistency reliabilities were acceptable

Social support scales were correlated with respective self-reported exercise habits, providing evidence of concurrent criterion related validity



DEMOGRAPHICS

Teacher level

- Gender
- Race/ethnicity
- Age
- Primary grades taught
- Years teaching experience
- Education level
- Job performance on test scores
- Salary on test scores
- Number of students in class
- School level
 - % Free or reduced priced lunch

11

AltaMed

Institute for Health Equity

- Size
- Type
- Level

ANALYSES

Research Question 2.

Examine associations between social support for physical activity and teacher wellbeing

- linear regression models for continuous variables, teacher wellbeing outcome/dependent variable while social support is the predictor/independent variable (Stata 16.0)
- adjust for teacher- and school/district-level factors
- Effect size with n=50 (f² = 0.165) powered to detect a medium effect size between teacher wellbeing and social support for exercise (GPower)
- sample size necessary to detect a moderate effect (0.15) between teacher well-being and social support for exercise with minimum desired level of power (0.80), and an alpha of .05 = 235 participants



RESULTS

DEMOGRAPHICS

Gender0.Iender80.Iender81.Ree30.Ichoose not to anver1223Ichoose not to anver12.Ichoose not to anver12.Ichoose not to anver12.Ichoose not to anver12.Ichoose not to anver13.Ichoose not to anver12.Ichoose not to anver12.Ichoose not to anver13.Ichoose not to anverIchoose not to anver<	Characteristic	Sample size (n)	Percentage (%)	
Mate 7 143 Bace 33	Gender	49	-	
Aser 3 White 24 45.3 I choose not to answer 2 3.8 Astan 2 3.8 Witter 2 3.8 Bialch/African American 2 3.8 Bialch/African American 2 3.8 More than once race 2 4.1 More than once race 2 4.1 I choose not to answer 5 1.1 I choose not to answer 6 1.1 I choose not to answer 6 1.1 I choose not to answer 6 1.1 I choose not to answer 7 3.5 I choose not to answer 5 1.1 I choose not to answer 5	Female	42	85.7	
White 24 45.3 I choose not to answer 15 28.3 Attan 2 3.8 I Hispanic 3 5.7 Lattan 2 3.8 Ollack/African American 2 3.8 Other than one race 2 3.8 Other than one race 2 3.8 More than one race 2 3.8 Other than one race 2 3.8 I Hospanic or Latton 5.0 4.9.1 I choose not to answer 12 22.6 Not thispanic or Latton 5.4 3.5 I choose not to answer 6 1.1.1 Master's degree 17 3.1.5 I choose not to answer 6 13.1 Oracles 54 3.3 Grades 54 3.3 I choose not to answer 6 3.3 Oracles 54 3.3 I choose not to answer 6 3.3 I choose not to answer 6	Male	7	14.3	
I choose not to answer 15 28.3 Atan 2 3.8 Mippanti 3 5.7 I utana 2 3.8 Black/Afrian American 2 3.8 More than once race 2 3.8 Mescian American 3 5.7 Bitnicity 33 5.7 Bitnicity 33 5.7 Bitnicity 53 5.8 Bitnicity 53 5.8 Bitnicity 5.6 5.6 Teaching credential 1 1.9 Grades 54 3.3 Grades 54 3.3 Bitny on test scores 54 3.5 Solary on test scores 54 5.6 Solary on test scores 54 3.5 Solary on test scores 54 5.6	Race	53		
Asia 2 38 Hispania 5.7 38 Badd/Affana American 2 38 Badd/Affana American 2 38 More Than once rave 2 38 Grades 5 38 Teaching credential 1 19 Grades 5 33 Grades 5 38 Grades 5 38<	White	24	45.3	
Hispania 3 5.7 Latina 2 3.8 Bitack/African American 2 3.8 More tano once rato 2 3.8 Mexican American 3 5.7 Ethnicity 53	I choose not to answer	15	28.3	
Latin 2 3.8 Bisk/Affician American 2 3.8 More than once raze 2 3.8 Behold of Latino 3 5.7 Ethnicity 33	Asian	2	3.8	
Black/African American 2 3.8 More than once race 2 3.8 More than once race 2 3.8 Mexican American 3 5.7 Ethnlicity 5.3	Hispanic	3	5.7	
More than once race 2 3.8 Mexican American 3 5.7 Ethnickly 53 - 1 1000 sent to answer 22.6 Not Hispanic or Latino 15 28.3 Education 54 - 1 1000 sent to answer 6 11.1 1 1000 sent to answer 6 11.1 Master's degree 30 55.6 - Grades 54 - - 6 11.1 19 - - Grades 54 - - - 6.2 2.6 2.6 - - Grades 54 - - - 6.8 0 3.3 - - - 10b performance on test scores 53 - - - - - 5.4 . . . - - - - - - - -	Latina	2	3.8	
Mexican America 3 5.7 Ethnicity 53	Black/African American	2	3.8	
Ethnicity S1 Image: Content of a server of a	More than once race	2	3.8	
Hispanic or Latino 26 49.1 I choose not to answer 12 22.6 Not Hispanic or Latino 15 28.3 Education 54 - Bachelor's degree 10 31.5 I choose not to answer 6 11.1 Master's degree 30 55.6 Teaching credential 1 1.9 Grades 54 - K2 16 29.6 33.3 33.3 - Job performance on test scores 53 - Vea 30 81.1 - Salary on test scores 54 - - Salary on test scores 54 - - Solool 55 free and reduced lunch participation 52 - - Mean (SD); Range 76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8 NA - A Years of teaching 52 - - A Mean (SD); Range 51.0 - - A	Mexican American	3	5.7	
I choose not to answer 12 22.6 Not Hispanic or Latino 15 28.3 Education 54	Ethnicity	53		
Not Hispanic or Latino 15 28.3 Education 54	Hispanic or Latino	26	49.1	
Not Hispanic or Lativo 15 28.3 Éducation 54	I choose not to answer	12	22.6	
Bachelor's degree 17 31.5 I choose not to answer 6 11.1 Master's degree 30 55.6 Teaching credential 1 1.9 Grades 54	Not Hispanic or Latino	15	28.3	
Ichoose not to answer 6 11.1 Master's degree 30 55.6 Teaching credential 1 1.9 Grades 54	Education	54		
I choose not to answer611.1Master's degree3055.6Teaching credential11.9Grades5429.6Call23.333.3Lob performance on test scores5333.7Solary on test scores543.7Salary on test scores54.996.3School % free and reduced lunch participation52.9Kean (SD); Range76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8NAMean (SD); Range52.9NAAverage class size51.0NA	Bachelor's degree	17	31.5	
Teaching credential 1 Grades 54 Grades 54 Cambrian (S2) 16 29.6 Job performance on test scores 53 33.3 Job performance on test scores 53			11.1	
Teaching credential 1 Grades 54 Grades 54 Camber of Ca	Master's degree			
Grades 54 u K2 16 29.6 3-5 18 3.3 6-8 20 37.0 Job performance on test scores 53 - Yes 43 81.1 No 10 18.9 Salary on test scores 54 - Yes 2 3.7 Solor Yes 2 3.7 School % free and reduced lunch participation 52 Mean (SD); Range 76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8 NA Years of teaching 52 - Mean (SD); Range 18.3 (7.7); 1-36 NA Average class size 51 -				
Index 16 29.6 Index 18 33.3 Index 20 37.0 Job performance on test scores 53 53 Index 43.0 81.1 Salary on test scores 54 54 Index 52 96.3 School % free and reduced lunch participation 52 Mean (SD); Range 76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8 Near of teaching 52 Mean (SD); Range 163 (7.7); 1-36 Na Average class size				
3-51833.3-682037.0Job performance on test scores53-74381.1-74318.9Salary on test scores54-752-752School % free and reduced lunch participation52-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-752-7-7-752-7-7-752-7-7-752-7-7-752-7-7-751			29.6	
682037.0Job performance on test scores53-Ves4381.1No1018.9Salary on test scores54-Yes23.7School % free and reduced lunch participation5296.3School % free and reduced lunch participation52NAYears of teaching52-Mean (SD); Range18.3 (7.7); 1.36NAAverage class size51-				
Job performance on test scores53Verage class size54Salary on test scores54Salary on test scores54Salary on test scores54Verage class size51				
Yes4381.1No1018.9Salary on test scores54Yes23.7No5296.3School % free and reduced lunch participation52Mean (SD); Range76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8Mean (SD); Range52Mean (SD); Range18.3 (7.7); 1-36Mean (SD); Range51				
No1018.9Salary on test scores54Yes23.7No5296.3School % free and reduced lunch participation52Mean (SD); Range76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8NAYears of teaching52School %Mean (SD); Range18.3 (7.7); 1-36NAAverage class size51Ma			81.1	
Salary on test scores54Yes23.7No5296.3School % free and reduced lunch participation52Mean (SD); Range76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8NAYears of teaching52Mean (SD); Range18.3 (7.7); 1-36Mean (SD); Range51				
Yes23.7No5296.3School % free and reduced lunch participation52Mean (SD); Range76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8NAYears of teaching52Mean (SD); Range18.3 (7.7); 1-36Average class size51			200	
No5296.3School % free and reduced lunch participation52Mean (SD); Range76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8NAYears of teachingS2S2Mean (SD); Range18.3 (7.7); 1-36NANAAverage class size51			3.7	
School % free and reduced lunch participation 52 Mean (SD); Range 76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8 NA Years of teaching 52 NA Mean (SD); Range 18.3 (7.7); 1-36 NA Average class size 51 NA				
Mean (SD); Range76.9 (3.6); 72-80.8NAYears of teaching52Mean (SD); Range18.3 (7.7); 1-36Mean (SD); Range51				
Years of teaching 52 Mean (SD); Range 18.3 (7.7); 1-36 Average class size 51 NA		52		
Years of teaching 52 Mean (SD); Range 18.3 (7.7); 1-36 Average class size 51 NA NA	Mean (SD): Pange	76 9 (3 6): 72-80 8	ΝΔ	
Average class size 51 Institu				Altaldoc
Average class size 51 Institu			ΝΔ	AllaMed
Mean (SD); Range 26.8 (15.5); 3-79 NA			NA	Health

RESULTS

SURVEYS

	n	Mean (SD); Range
Total twcs	51	24.4 (4.2); 16-32
Teaching efficacy sub		12.0 (2.4); 7-16
scale tesc		
School connectedness	53	12.4 (2.5); 8-16
sub scale scsc		

	n	Mean (SD); Range
Family Participation fpsc4	52	24.8 (7.3); 10-40
Family Rewards and Punishment frsc4	54	4.1 (1.4); 3-7
Friend Participation fdpsc4	52	20.0 (8.1); 10-39
		14

AltaMed Institute for Health Equity

RESULTS

REGRESSION

	Dependent Variable:
	Teacher Subjective Wellbeing- composite scale twcs
Independent Variable:	Model II ¢′
	B (95%CI)
Friend Participation sfdpsc4 ^d	.274 (272, .916)
Constant	58.073* (125, 116.271)
Constant	38.075 (125, 110.271)
Observations	36
	.234
N~	.234
Adjusted R ²	0727
Family participation sfpsc4 ^d	.0459 (739,.831)
Constant	66.251** (7.661, 124.842)
Observations	35
R ²	.200
Adjusted R ²	133
Friend Participation sfdpsc4 ^d & Family participation sfpsc4 ^d – sfdpsc4	.294 (437, 1.026)
Friend Participation sfdpsc4 ^d & Family participation sfpsc4 ^a – sfpsc4	0954 (961, .771)
Constant	59.752* (-1.521, 121.0257)
Observations	35
R ²	.2235
Adjusted R ²	148

* p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

> AltaMed Institute for Health Equity

DISCUSSION

SURVEYS

TSWQ mean (SD)

28.01 (3.63; Renshaw 2015)

26.30 (4.41; von der Embse 2020)

SSES mean (SD) family support for exercise habits = 26.1 (10.9; Sallis 1987) friend support for exercise habits = 13.6 (5.3) rewards and punishment = 3.7 (1.5)



FUTURE DIRECTIONS

What are the psychometric properties of the TSWQ within a more diverse teacher sample?



THANK YOU

